

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELL

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-offs, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	<b>X</b>	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Lovington, New Mexico

Place

October 5, 1939

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.  
Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the

**DUPLICATE**


Magnolia Petroleum Co. Brunson-Argo Well No. 4 in the  
Company or Operator Lease  
NE<sup>1</sup> NW<sup>1</sup> of Sec. 10, T. 22-S, R. 37-E, N. M. P. M.,  
Penrose Field, Lea County

The dates of this work were as follows: October 2, 1939

Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_  
and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

### DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Testing 7" casing set at 3585' w/275 & 7. Drilled plus  
and built pressure up to 1000# and set for one hour. Tested O.K.



Witnessed by A. C. Akens Wm. Cameron Lumber Co. Manager  
Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me this \_\_\_\_\_

6th day of October, 1939

Boz Jamulman  
Notary Public

My Commission expires 5/28/42

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name J. R. Carbell

Position Supt.

Representing Magnolia Petroleum Co.  
Company or Operator

Address Box 68 Lovington, N. M.

Remarks:

Boz Jamulman  
Name  
OIL & GAS INSPECTOR  
Title

# THEORY OF THE EARTH

## CHAPTER I. OF THE ORIGIN OF THE EARTH.

The origin of the earth is a subject which has attracted the attention of philosophers from the earliest ages. The ancients were divided into two schools, the one of which held that the earth was created out of nothing, and the other that it was formed out of pre-existing matter. The former school was the more numerous, and the latter the more philosophical.

### SECTION I. OF THE ORIGIN OF THE EARTH.

The first question which presents itself to the mind is, whether the earth was created out of nothing, or out of pre-existing matter.

The former opinion is the more common, and the latter the more philosophical. The former is supported by the authority of the Bible, and the latter by the reasoning of philosophers.

The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

## CHAPTER II. OF THE ORIGIN OF THE EARTH.

The origin of the earth is a subject which has attracted the attention of philosophers from the earliest ages. The ancients were divided into two schools, the one of which held that the earth was created out of nothing, and the other that it was formed out of pre-existing matter. The former school was the more numerous, and the latter the more philosophical.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.

The former opinion is the more common, because it is more agreeable to the popular imagination. The latter opinion is the more philosophical, because it is more consistent with the principles of natural philosophy.