

P. O. Box 1069
Hobbs, New Mexico

January 18, 1965

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 1980
Hobbs, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

RE: Texas Pacific Oil Company's
Crosby No. 1
Unit C, Section 18-T22S-R37E
Lea County, New Mexico
Eumont Gas Pool

Gentlemen:

In regard to your request for a packer leakage test on the captioned well, we respectfully request that this well be exempted from the requirements of an annual packer leakage test.

This well was recompleted on January 6, 1964, at which time the Arrowhead Zone was temporarily abandoned. The recompletion work was approved by the U.S.G.S. on January 28, 1964.

Attached is a schematic of the present condition of the well. A Baker Model "D" Plug was set in the "FA" packer at 3600' thus sealing off the Arrowhead Zone below the packer. To take a packer leakage test would necessitate the pulling of the tubing and pulling of the Model "D" plug and rerunning the tubing into the "FA" packer. This would involve the expenditure of quite a bit of money. It is, therefore, requested that Texas Pacific not be required to run an annual packer leakage test on this well.

Yours very truly,

Original signed by:
John H. Hendrix

John H. Hendrix
District Engineer

Attachment

JM/dw

P.O. Box 100
Hobbs, New Mexico

January 28, 1961

State of New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 100
Hobbs, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Joe L. Reed

Oil Conservation Commission
Unit 6, Section 28-1-1-1
Grosby 10.1
Texas Pacific Oil Company
Hobbs, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

In regard to your request for a packer leakage test on the captioned well, we respectfully request that this well be exempted from the requirement of an annual packer leakage test.

This well was recompleted on January 6, 1960, at which time the arrowhead zone was temporarily abandoned. The recompletion work was approved by the O.C.C. on January 28, 1961.

Attached is a schematic of the present condition of the well. A 10" steel WGP Plug was set in the WGP packer at 3000' then sealing off the Arrowhead zone below the packer. To take a packer leakage test would necessitate the pulling of the tubing and pulling of the 10" plug and returning the tubing into the "RA" packer. This would involve the expenditure of considerable money. It is, therefore, requested that Texas Pacific not be required to take an annual packer leakage test on this well.

Very truly,
John H. Hendrix

John H. Hendrix
District Engineer

Attachment

wh/wh