

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	<b>X</b>	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico

June 30, 1936

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the \_\_\_\_\_  
**Shelly Oil Company** **Eugene Coates** Well No. **5** in the \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Company or Operator \_\_\_\_\_ Lease \_\_\_\_\_  
**QNE/4, SE/4** of Sec. **3**, T. **24S**, R. **36E**, N. M. P. M.,  
**Cooper** Field, **Lea** County.

The dates of this work were as follows: **June 28, 1936**

Notice of intention to do the work was ~~was not~~ submitted on Form C-102 on **June 25** 19 **36**  
 and approval of the proposed plan was ~~was not~~ obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

## DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

**Drilled plug, bailed hole dry, let stand, and tested for casing shut-off which tested O.K. Now drilling ahead.**

Witnessed by **C. I. Woodroof** **Davidson Drilling Company** **Foreman**  
 Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me this **1**day of **July**, 19 **36**

**Patricia Mahoney**  
 Notary Public

My Commission expires **10-24-39**

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name **J. J. Dunlavy**Position **District Superintendent**Representing **Shelly Oil Company**  
Company or OperatorAddress **Drawer "D", Hobbs, New Mexico**

Remarks:

APPROVED

**J. J. Dunlavy**  
 Name  
 Title

2CR

REPORT OF WORK

1. *Phragmites* spp. (Poaceae)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \right) = - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d\rho}{dt}$

1860-1940: In 1912, the <sup>1912</sup> ~~1912~~ year was the first year that the U.S. Census Bureau began to collect data on the number of people who were born in the United States and the number of people who were born in other countries.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the inhibitor on the rate of polymerization of  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene in the presence of  $\text{SnCl}_4$  at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . The concentration of  $\alpha$ -methylstyrene was 1.0 mol/L, and the concentration of  $\text{SnCl}_4$  was 0.005 mol/L. The concentration of the inhibitor was 0.0001 mol/L (○), 0.0002 mol/L (□), 0.0005 mol/L (△), 0.001 mol/L (◇), 0.002 mol/L (×), 0.005 mol/L (●), 0.01 mol/L (○), 0.02 mol/L (◇), 0.05 mol/L (×), 0.1 mol/L (●), 0.2 mol/L (○), 0.5 mol/L (◇), 1.0 mol/L (×), 2.0 mol/L (●), 5.0 mol/L (○), 10.0 mol/L (◇), 20.0 mol/L (×), 50.0 mol/L (●), 100.0 mol/L (○), 200.0 mol/L (◇), 500.0 mol/L (×), 1000.0 mol/L (●).

• **Figure 10.10** The *z*-score for a given value of *X* is the number of standard deviations that value is above or below the mean.

1. *What is the purpose of the study?*      2. *What is the research question?*  
 3. *What is the hypothesis?*      4. *What is the significance of the study?*

**Figure 1.** The effect of the number of nodes ( $n$ ) on the performance of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the execution time (in seconds) on the y-axis (ranging from 0 to 10) versus the number of nodes ( $n$ ) on the x-axis (ranging from 10 to 100). The right plot shows the accuracy (in percentage) on the y-axis (ranging from 90 to 100) versus the number of nodes ( $n$ ) on the x-axis (ranging from 10 to 100). Both plots show a decreasing trend as  $n$  increases.