

N. MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES

Submit this notice in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent before the work specified is to begin. A copy will be returned to the sender on which will be given the approval, with any modifications considered advisable, or the rejection by the Commission or its agent, of the plan submitted. The plan as approved should be followed, and work should not begin until approval is obtained. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of notice by checking below:

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST CASING SHUT-OFF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR CHEMICALLY TREAT WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE PLANS		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR OTHERWISE ALTER CASING	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO REPAIR WELL		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PLUG WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEEPEN WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico

Place

November 6, 1936

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a notice of intention to do certain work as described below at the _____

Skelly Oil Company R.R.Sims Well No. 2 in C 4E/4, SW/4
Company or Operator Lease
of Sec. 3, T. 23, R. 37, N. M. P. M., South Euclia Field,
Lea County.

FULL DETAILS OF PROPOSED PLAN OF WORK

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COMMISSION

Set 15-1/2" OD casing at 125' with 50 sacks cement.
Circulated cement back into cellar. Will let stand
48 hrs, then drill plug, bail hole, and test for
casing shut-off.

DUPLICATE

Approved _____, 19____
except as follows:

Subject to the regulations for
initial and subsequent work in this
area

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

By _____

Title _____

Skelly Oil Company

Company or Operator

By _____

Position Dist. Superintendent

Send communications regarding well to

Name Skelly Oil CompanyAddress Hobbs, New Mexico

1. *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* were determined by the method of Lichtenthaler and Whistler (1973).

1997-1998, 2000-2001, 2002-2003

2010-2011-12

[illegible]

Journal of Management Education 30(6)p.789-804

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10⁶ cells/ml (○), 10⁷ cells/ml (□), 10⁸ cells/ml (△), 10⁹ cells/ml (◇), and 10¹⁰ cells/ml (×). The error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments.

1. *Chlorophyll a* (Chl *a*)

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains.