

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	10-3/4"	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico,

Place

June 9th, 1936.

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the \_\_\_\_\_  
GULF OIL CORPORATION

GYPSY DIVISION Fred G. King Well No. 1 in the \_\_\_\_\_  
Company or Operator Lease  
25/4 of Sec. 5, T. 23S, R. 37E, N. M. P. M.,  
Emise Field, 1m. County.

The dates of this work were as follows: Completed 6-5-36 Tested 5-7-1936.

Notice of intention to do the work was [~~submitted~~] submitted on Form C-102 on 5-4-1936. 19\_\_\_\_

and approval of the proposed plan was [~~obtained~~] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

## DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

On The plug was drilled and the hole bailed dry, let stand for 1 hour and the bailer reran. The hole was dry and test OK. After approval of Mr. Venable State Oil & Gas Inspector, preparations were made to drill ahead.

DUPLICATE

Witnessed by \_\_\_\_\_ Name \_\_\_\_\_ Company \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12

day of June, 1936

Patricia Mahoney  
Notary Public

My Commission expires 10-24-39

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name G. C. Cummings

Position District Superintendent

GULF OIL CORPORATION

Representing GYPSY DIVISION

Company or Operator

Address G. C. Cummings, Hobbs, New Mexico.

Remarks:

APPROVED

[Signature]  
Name

Oil & Gas Inspector

Title

14R

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

[illegible][illegible]

*Journal of Management Inquiry*, Vol. 17 No. 1, March 2008  
DOI: 10.1177/1056492607312111  
© The Author(s) 2008

[illegible]

977

607                      911

4. The following information is provided for the year ended 31 March 2014:

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The *Agrobacterium* strains were grown in the YEA medium for 24 h at 28 °C. The cell concentration of the *Agrobacterium* strains was adjusted to 1.0 × 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml. The cell suspension was then mixed with the plant tissue and the transformation efficiency was determined. The results are shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 05-01-2001 BY 60322 UCBAW

10. What is the purpose of the study?

[illegible][illegible]

1. *What is the purpose of the study?*  
 2. *What are the research questions or hypotheses?*  
 3. *What is the study design?*  
 4. *What is the sample size and selection method?*  
 5. *What are the variables being measured?*  
 6. *What are the data collection methods?*  
 7. *What are the results of the study?*  
 8. *What are the conclusions and implications of the study?*

[illegible]

**Figure 6.** The effect of the number of iterations on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot is titled "Accuracy vs. Number of Iterations" and shows accuracy increasing from approximately 0.85 at 100 iterations to nearly 1.0 at 1000 iterations. The right plot is titled "Error vs. Number of Iterations" and shows error decreasing from approximately 0.15 at 100 iterations to near zero at 1000 iterations. Both plots have a logarithmic x-axis for the number of iterations (100, 200, 500, 1000).

100