

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL Report of acidizing the well.
---	---

Hobbs, New Mexico

June 7, 1935

Place

Date

Mr. E.H. Wells State Geologist,

Santa Fe, N. Mex.

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Gypsy Oil Company Arnett-Ramsay #1 (State) Well No. 1 in the

Company or Operator AM/4

Lease

of Sec. 2, T. 25n, R. 36e N. M. P. M.,
Cooper Oil Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: Acidized 6-4-35 Tester 6-5-1935.

Notice of intention to do the work was (~~submitted~~) submitted on Form SG 106 on June 3rd 1935, and approval of the proposed plan was (~~submitted~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

The well was treated with 2000 gallons of 60% hydrochloric acid solution thru the tubing, by the Chemical Process Company. 33 barrels of oil were pumped in ahead of the Acid and 16 barrels pumped in afterwards. 5 gallons of #2 Nep Inhibitor was also used.

6/12/35

No test before the treatment, unable to get well to flow.

Test after treatment as follows:-

Well swabbed down, small increase in gas but very little increase in oil.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

18 day of June, 1935

[Signature]
 Notary Public

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name C. P. Cummings

Position District Superintendent

Representing Gypsy Oil Company

Company or Operator

Address Hobbs, New Mexico.

My Commission expires June 23-1938

Remarks:

APPROVED AS O. K.

BY *[Signature]*

APPROVED AS O. K.

NAME *[Signature]*

Title

1 C Proration Office

OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND GEOLOGY
BUREAU OF MINERAL INVESTIGATION

MINERAL RESOURCES OF MINNESOTA

The following table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

MINERAL	ESTIMATED VALUE, 1910
Iron ore	1,200.00
Copper	100.00
Gold	10.00
Silver	5.00
Lead	20.00
Coal	50.00
Oil	10.00
Gas	5.00
Clay	10.00
Gravel	5.00
Barite	5.00
Fluorite	5.00
Asbestos	5.00
Other minerals	10.00
Total	1,430.00

The above table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars. The total value of the mineral resources of Minnesota is estimated to be \$1,430,000,000.

The following table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The above table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The following table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The above table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The following table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The above table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The following table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.

The above table shows the estimated value of the mineral resources of Minnesota, based on the best available data, as of January 1, 1910. The values are given in millions of dollars.