

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF	X	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL			

Wink, Texas. February 16th, 1935.

Mr. F.J. Vesely State Geologist, PLACE DATE
Santa Fe, N. Mex.
Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Repollo Oil Company S.M. 61094 Well No. 2 in the SE/4 COMPANY OR OPERATOR 12 T. 25-S LEASE 36-E of Sec. 12, R. 36-E, N. M. P. M., Jal Oil Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: February 15th, 1935.

Notice of intention to do the work was (was ~~not~~) submitted on Form SG 105 on February 5th, 1935, and approval of the proposed plan was (was ~~not~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Test made by pump pressure of 800# and tested **X**

DUPLICATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

..... day of, 19.....

NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name L. Smith

Position District Superintendent

Representing Repollo Oil Company

Address Wink, Texas - Drawer P

COMPANY OR OPERATOR.

Remarks: **FEB 21 1935**

APPROVED AS O. K.

BY F. Vesely

NAME

TITLE

NCR.

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

[illegible]

1. The first of these is the fact that the proposed plan was submitted on June 1, 1941, and was not published until June 15, 1941. This delay in publication is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

2. The second of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

3. The third of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

4. The fourth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

5. The fifth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

6. The sixth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

7. The seventh of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

8. The eighth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

9. The ninth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

10. The tenth of these is the fact that the proposed plan was not published in the official journal of the proposed plan, but was published in a private journal. This is a strong indication that the plan was not intended to be made public at that time.

[illegible]