DUPLICATE

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

OCT 2 9 1951

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION HOBBS-OFFICE

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission District Office within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and filed as a report on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL OCT DER 18, 1952 Hobbs, Date OCT DER 18, 1952 Hobbs, Date Company or Operator N W/A of SE/A Of Sec. 24 T. 25 S. R. Cooper-11 Pool Les The dates of this work were as follows: (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN. The wellhead pressure was 104 post is gauge, on October 1, 2 22 hour state in period. Witnessed by. Name Company APPROVED: OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION I hereby swear or affirm that is true and correct.		
CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL OCT DER 18, 1951 Hobos, Date Company or Operator Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN. The wallhead pressure was 404 postic gauge, on October 1, 221-hour staut-in period. Witnessed by Name Company Company Name Company Lieuway Co	WELL	
Company or Operator NWA of SEA Of Sec. 24 T. 25 S R. Company or Operator Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN To wellhead pressure was 104 post is gauge, on October 1, 24 shour sints in period.	R OTHERWISE	E ,
OCT DER 18, 1951 Hobbs, Date Collowing is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the first part of the property of Sec. 24. NW/A of SE/A of Sec. 24. Company or Operator NW/A of SE/A of Sec. 24. Cooper-11 Pool Lee The dates of this work were as follows: October 1, 1951 Cotice of intention to do the work were (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN. The wellhead pressure was 404 postic gauge, on October 1, 3 24 phour start-in period.	G WELL	
ollowing is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the first control of the propertor of Sec. 24. Well No. These Well No. These No. 1, T. 25.8. R. Cooper 1. Pool Lee the dates of this work were as follows: Ostober 1, 1951 otice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN. The wallhead pressure was 404 postic gauge, on October 1, 22i-shour sinterin period.	ES3U	1
company or Operator Company or Operator N W/A of SS/A Pool Cooperate Cooperate Pool Cooperate Pool Cooperate Pool Cooperate Cooperate Pool Cooperate Cooperate Pool Cooperate P	New M 1a	Č
Company or Operator N W/A of SE/A Cooper_Al Pool Lea He dates of this work were as follows: October 1, 1951 Cotice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on. Indiapproval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINS The wellhead pressure was 404 p.s.i. gauge, on October 1, 24: hour sintain period.	Plac	:0
Perover: New of St/4 of St/4 of Sec. 24 , T 25 S , R. Cooper-11 Pool Lea He dates of this work were as follows: Ostober 1, 1951 Otice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN: The wellhead pressure was 404 postic gauge, on October 1, 3 24-hour strate in period. Witnessed by Name Company I hereby swear or affirm that		
he dates of this work were as follows: October 1, 1951 Otice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on Indiapproval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAIN The wellhead pressure was 404 postic gauge, on October 1, 24 hour state in period.		
Titnessed by Name Company Ostober 1, 1951 Ostober 1,	36 B	, N. M. P. M.,
the dates of this work were as follows: Ostober 1, 1951 Otice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINST Proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) Personal Account of Work Done and Results Obtainst Proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINST Proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) Personal Account of Work Done and Results Obtainst Proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the control of the proposed plan was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on the control of the control		County.
otice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on		
PPROVED: 1 hereby swear or affirm that	*45 *	_, af ter
PPROVED: OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION I hereby swear or affirm that is true and correct.		Title
Cor, Walklebille Name	* <u>†</u> .	
Ojl & Gas Inspector Name Position District Super	ntandest	E. L. Shai
OCT 2 9 1951 Representing Continents Compa Compa Compa Hor 427 - Hob 1	any or Operator	