

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

BOX 2045

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

DATE May 15 1962

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Re: Proposed NSP _____

Proposed NSL _____

Proposed NFC _____

Proposed DC X

Gentlemen:

I have examined the application dated 5/9/62

for the Shell Oil Co. State JM #2 2-25-37
Operator Lease and Well No. S-T-R

and my recommendations are as follows:

O.K.---E.F.E.

Geologically O.K.---J.W.R.

Yours very truly,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem clearly. This involves identifying the symptoms, the scope of the problem, and the impact it is having on the organization. Once the problem is defined, the next step is to gather information about the problem. This can be done through interviews, surveys, and other methods. The information gathered should be used to identify the causes of the problem and to develop a plan to address the problem.

2. The second step in the process is to develop a plan to address the problem. This involves identifying the goals of the plan, the resources needed to implement the plan, and the timeline for implementation. The plan should be developed in consultation with the relevant stakeholders and should be based on the information gathered in the first step.

3. The third step in the process is to implement the plan. This involves putting the plan into action and monitoring the progress of the implementation. The implementation should be based on the plan developed in the second step and should be monitored to ensure that the goals of the plan are being achieved.

4. The fourth step in the process is to evaluate the results of the implementation. This involves assessing the impact of the implementation on the organization and identifying any areas for improvement. The evaluation should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

5. The fifth step in the process is to communicate the results of the implementation. This involves sharing the results of the implementation with the relevant stakeholders and identifying any lessons learned. The communication should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

6. The sixth step in the process is to review the process. This involves reflecting on the process and identifying any areas for improvement. The review should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

7. The seventh step in the process is to document the results of the implementation. This involves creating a record of the results of the implementation and the lessons learned. The documentation should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

8. The eighth step in the process is to share the results of the implementation. This involves sharing the results of the implementation with the relevant stakeholders and identifying any lessons learned. The sharing should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

9. The ninth step in the process is to implement the plan. This involves putting the plan into action and monitoring the progress of the implementation. The implementation should be based on the plan developed in the second step and should be monitored to ensure that the goals of the plan are being achieved.

10. The tenth step in the process is to evaluate the results of the implementation. This involves assessing the impact of the implementation on the organization and identifying any areas for improvement. The evaluation should be based on the information gathered in the first step and the plan developed in the second step.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

7-3-58

APPLICATION FOR DUAL COMPLETION

Field Name North Justice	County Lea	Date May 4, 1962
Operator Shell Oil Company	Lease No. 10 20	Well No. 2
Location Unit B	Section 2	Township 35S
		Range 37E

1. Has the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission heretofore authorized the dual completion of a well in these same pools or in the same zones within one mile of the subject well? YES ☒ NO ☐
2. If answer is yes, identify one such instance: Order No. R-2109; Operator, Lease, and Well No.:

Texaco G. L. Erwin "B" NCC-2 Well No. 2

3. The following facts are submitted:	Upper Zone	Lower Zone
a. Name of reservoir	<u>Devonian</u>	<u>Fuselman</u>
b. Top and Bottom of Pay Section (Perforations)	<u>6970-7030 (estimated)</u>	<u>7150-7230 (estimated)</u>
c. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	<u>Oil</u>	<u>Oil</u>
d. Method of Production (Flowing or Artificial Lift)	<u>Flowing</u>	<u>Flowing</u>

4. The following are attached. (Please mark YES or NO)

- ☒ a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Dual Completion, showing all casing strings, including size and setting, top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.
- ☒ b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.
- ☒ c. Waivers consenting to such dual completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application. * **will be submitted with Form C-105**
- ☐ d. Electrical log of the well or other acceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.)

5. List all offset operators to the lease on which this well is located together with their correct mailing address.

Amerada Petroleum Corporation, P. O. Box 312, Midland, TexasM. E. Hale Company, c/o Howard L. Holman, P. O. Box 667, Hobbs, New MexicoParker Drilling Company, P. O. Box 1742, Midland, TexasSkelly Oil Company, P. O. Box 38, Hobbs, New MexicoTexaco Inc., V & J Tower Building, Midland, Texas

6. Were all operators listed in Item 5 above notified and furnished a copy of this application? YES ☒ NO ☐. If answer is yes, give date of such notification May 4, 1962.

CERTIFICATE: I, the undersigned, state that I am the representative of the Shell Oil Company (company), and that I am authorized by said company to make this report; and that this report was prepared under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.


Signature

- * Should waivers from all offset operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said twenty-day period, no protest nor request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.
- NOTE: If the proposed dual completion will result in an unorthodox well location and/or a non-standard proration unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.

Devonian

Vent

Fusselman

CIW Type FML
Tubing Spool

CIW Type
TBM Tubing
Hanger

Casing Head
Housing

SHELL STATE JM-2
PROPOSED DUAL COMPLETION
FUSSELMAN-DEVONIAN
Section 2, T-25-S, R-37-E
Lea County, New Mexico
May 4, 1962

2 3/8" O.D. Buttress
Tubing

9 5/8" 36# Casing Hung
at 1000' cemented to
surface w/500 sx.

Cement Top at 2300'.

Devonian Tubing Hung
Open-ended at 7050'.
S.N. at 7020'.

Baker Parallel
Anchor Flow Tube

Fusselman Tubing Hung
Open-ended at 7250'.
S.N. at 7220'.

6970-7030'

Baker Model FA Production
Packer at 7100'.

7150-7230'

7" Casing (w/3 jts 7 5/8"
on top) hung at 7295'.
Cemented w/525 sx.
TD 7300'

